arXiv:1402.4706v1 [math.RA] 19 Feb 2014

ON TWO QUESTIONS OF NICHOLSON

FEROZ SIDDIQUE

Abstract. We show that a ring R has stable range one if and only if every left unit lifts modulo every left principal ideal. We also show that a left quasi-morphic ring has stable range one if and only if it is left uniquely generated. Thus we answer in the affirmative the two questions raised recently by W. K. Nicholson.

1. Introduction

All our rings are associative with identity and all our modules are left modules, unless specifically mentioned. Let $U(R)$ denote the group of all units of R. In a ring R, an element u is a left (right) unit if there exists $v \in R$ such that $vu = 1 (uv = 1)$. We say that a left unit u lifts modulo any left ideal I if there exists an element $v \in R$ such that $vu - 1 \in I$. Similarly we say that a right unit x lift modulo every right ideal I if exists an element $y \in R$ such that $xy - 1 \in I$. Recall that a ring R is called directly finite (or Dedekind finite or Von Neumann Finite) if for any two elements $a, b \in R$, $ab = 1 \implies ba = 1$. A module M is called directly finite if $M \cong M \bigoplus N \implies N = 0$. Then M is a Dedekind finite module if and only if $End(M_R)$ is a Dedekind finite ring. A ring R is called left uniquely generated if for any two left principal ideals Ra and Rb in R, if $Ra = Rb$ then $a = ub$ for some $u \in U(R)$. In a similar fashion we define right uniquely generated rings. A ring R is called left quasi-morphic if the collection of all left principal ideals coincides with the collection of all left annihilators in the ring. For example, any Von Neumann regular ring is both left and right quasi-morphic. For more details on quasi-morphic rings, the reader is referred to [1].

In [13], Bass introduced the notion of (left) right stable range for a ring (denoted as $sr(R)$). Vasershtein in [8] showed that the notion of stable range one is left-right symmetric. A ring R is said to have stable range one if for any $a, b, x \in R, ax + b = 1 \implies a + by = u \in U(R)$ for some $x \in R$. In the literature of rings with stable range one, many equivalent conditions have been to shown to characterize such a class of rings. We would like to mention one below.

In [12], Goodearl stated the following lemma which is essentially due to Vasershtein.

Lemma 1. *Let a, b, c be elements of a ring R, such that $ab + c = 1$. If there exists $x \in R$ such that $a + cx$ is invertible, then there exists $y \in R$ such that $b + yc$ is invertible.*

Bass showed that every semi-local ring R has stable range one. This was later generalized independently by Fuchs [14], Kaplansky [6] and Henriksen [3] where they showed that every unit-regular ring has stable range one.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16U60, 16D50.

Key words and phrases. directly-finite, stable range one, left quasi-morphic.

Nicholson asked the following two questions:

(1) If every left unit lifts modulo every left ideal, is the ring R directly finite?

(2) If a ring R is left quasi morphic and left uniquely generated, does R have stable range one?

In this paper we answer both the questions affirmatively and in fact, we prove a stronger version of the above questions.

The notion of principal left (right) ideals being uniquely generated first appeared in Kaplansky's classic paper [5]. He had raised the question of when a ring R satisfies the property of being right uniquely generated. He remarked that for commutative rings, the property holds for principal ideal rings and artinian rings. We would like to draw out the historical familiarity of such a property with stable range one and the validity of the partly converse statement for the second question asked by Nicholson.
In the sense of Wu and Jan [9] a module M is called quasi-projective if for any epimorphism $g : M \to N$ and any homomorphism $f : M \to N$ there exists a map $h \in End(M)$ such that $f = gh$. In [11] Canfell considers the situations where the map h is required to be an epimorphism. In this sense he defines a module M to be epi-projective if for any epimorphism $f, g : M \to N$, there is an epimorphism $h : M \to M$ such that $f = gh$. He notes that for any ring R is epi-projective(as a right R-module) if and only if R has stable range one. Also for any quasi-projective module M, $End(M)$ has stable range one if and only if M is epi-projective.

2. Main Results

We begin with the following lemma which answers a stronger version of Question 1 raised by Nicholson.

Lemma 2. *Let R be a ring. Then R is directly finite if any of the following conditions hold.*

(1) Every left unit lifts modulo every left principal ideal.

(2) Every right unit lifts modulo every right principal ideal.

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. We claim R is directly finite. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = 1$. Consider the left principal ideal $R(1 - ba)$. Since $(ba - 1) \in R(1 - ba)$, by our hypothesis there exists a left unit $u \in R$ such that $a - u \in R(1 - ba)$.
By our definition of left unit, there exists an element $v \in R$ such that $vu = 1$.
Let $a - u = x(1 - ba)$. Multiplying on the right by b we have $(a - u)b = x(1 - ba)b$ which implies that $1 - ub = 0$. Hence $ub = 1$ and is therefore both left and right invertible.Thus $v = b \in U(R)$ and hence $ab = 1 \implies ba = 1$.
The proof traverses in a similar way if we assume (2).

□

We proceed to strengthen further the above lemma in the next theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) R has stable range one.

(2) Every left unit lifts modulo every left principal ideal.

(3) Every right unit lifts modulo every right principal ideal.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) : We assume R has stable range one. Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $ab - 1 \in Rc$ i.e b is a left unit modulo the left principal ideal Rc. We show that there exists a left unit $u \in R$ such that $b - u \in Rc$.
Let $x \in R$ such that $ab - 1 = xc$. Then $ab - xc = 1$. Since R has stable range one, from the above Vasershtein Lemma, there exists $t \in R, u \in U(R)$ such that $b - txc = u$. Therefore $b - u \in Rc$ where u is invertible(and hence left invertible) in R.
(2) $\implies$ (1): We show that R has stable range one. Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $ab + c = 1$. Then $ab - 1 \in Rc$. So by our hypothesis, there exists a left unit $u \in R$ such that $b - u \in Rc$. Then from Lemma 1 we have R is directly finite. Hence every left unit is a right unit and hence invertible in R. Thus $b - u = xc$ for some $x \in R, u \in U(R)$ i.e $b + (-x)c = u \in U(R)$. Therefore from Vasershtien Lemma, R has stable range one.
(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): The proof follows similarly. □

Remark 4. *Since rings with stable range one are stably finite, Theorem 3 gives a stronger answer to Question 2.*

We now answer the second question of Nicholson in the next theorem which also shows that the property of being left (right) uniquely generated is weaker than having stable range one.

Theorem 5. *Let R be a ring. If R is left quasi morphic, then the following are equivalent :*

(1) R is left uniquely generated.

(2) R has stable range one.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) : In view of Proposition 2, it suffices to show that every left unit lifts modulo every left principal ideal in R.
Let x be a left unit that lifts modulo the left principal ideal Ry i.e there exists $z \in R$ such that $zx - 1 \in Ry$. We would like to show that there exists a unit(and hence left invertible) $u \in U(R)$ such that $x - u \in Ry$.
Since R is left quasi morphic, there exists $a, b \in R$ such that $Ry = ann_l(a)$ and $R(xa) = ann_l(b)$.
Since $zx - 1 \in Ry$ we have $Rx + Ry = R$. But for any $r \in R$, $rx(ab) = (rxa)b = 0$ since $rxa \in R(xa) = ann_l(b)$. Also $ry(ab) = ((ry)a)b = 0.b = 0$ since $ry \in Ry = ann_l(a)$. Therefore $Rx \subseteq ann_l(ab)$ and $Ry \subseteq ann_l(ab)$.
Hence we have $R = Rx + Ry = ann_l(ab) \implies ab = 0 \implies a \in ann_l(b) \implies Ra \subseteq ann_l(b)$. Also we have $ann_l(b) = R(xa) \subseteq Ra$. Therefore $ann_l(b) = R(xa) = Ra$.

Now since R is left uniquely generated and $R(xa) = Ra$, there exists a unit $u \in R$ such that $xa = ua$. This implies that $(x-u)a = 0 \implies (x-u) \in ann_l(a) = Ry$. Thus from Theorem 3, the ring R has stable range one.

(2) $\implies$ (1): Let Ra and Rb be any two left principal ideals in R such that $Ra = Rb$. Then there exists $x, y \in R$ such that $a = xb$ and $b = ya$. Therefore $b = yxb \implies (1-yx)b = 0$. This shows that $(1-yx) \in ann_l(b)$.
Now $yx + (1-yx) = 1$ where $yx \in Rx$ and $(1-yx) \in R(1-yx)$. Therefore $Rx + R(1-yx) = R$. Since R is assumed to have stable range one, there exists $t \in R$ such that $x + t(1-yx) = u \in U(R)$. Since $(1-yx) \in ann_l(b)$ we have $(x + t(1-yx))b = ub \implies xb = ub$ where $xb = a$. Thus $a = ub$ for some $u \in U(R)$ which completes the proof. □

Remark 6. *Note that the above result requires only the fact that every left principal ideal in R is a left annhilator of some element in R which is weaker than R being left quasi morphic. Also note that* (2) $\implies$ (1) *does not require R to be left quasi-morphic. In fact in a very similar way, we can show that any ring R with stable range one is right uniquely generated. Thus a ring R with stable range one is both left and right uniquely generated. The converse does not hold true in general. Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then R is both left and right uniquely generated but R does not have stable range one. But it can be easily seen that any left (right) uniquely generated ring is always directly finite.*

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Ashish K Srivastava for his help and encouragement with this work.

References

[1] V.Camillo and W.K Nicholson, Quasi-morphic rings, J. Algebra Applications 6(2007), 789-799.
[2] V.Camillo, W.K Nicholson and Z.Wang, Left Quasi-morphic rings, J. Algebra Applications Vol 7, No. 6(2008), 725-733.
[3] M. Henriksen, On a class of regular rings that are elementary divisor rings. Arch. Math. (Basel) 24 (1973), 133141.
[4] M. Henriksen, Two classes of rings generated by their units, J. Algebra 31 (1974), 182-193.
[5] I. Kaplansky, Elementary divisors and modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949), 464-491.
[6] I. Kaplansky, Basss first stable range condition, mimeographed notes, 1971.
[7] W.K. Nicholson, Lifting Idempotents and Exchange Rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229, (1977), 269-278.
[8] L.N Vaserstein, Bass's first stable range condition, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 34 (1984), 319-330.
[9] L.E.T.Wu and J.P.Jans, On quasi projectives, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 439-448.
[10] T.Y.Lam, A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution, and exchange, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), 301-343.

[11] M. J. Canfell, Completion of diagram by automorphisms and Bass' first stable range condition, J. Algebra 176, 480-503 (1995)
[12] K.R.Goodearl, Cancellation of low rank vector bundles, Pacific. J. Math. Vol 113, No.2 (1984), 289-302.
[13] H.Bass, K-theory and stable algebra,Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ.Math.22, (1964) 5-60
[14] L. Fuchs, On a substitution property of modules, Monatsh. Math. 75(1971), 198 204.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO-63103, USA
E-mail address: fsiddiq2@slu.edu